Print this report

Texas Education Agency 2015-16 Federal Report Card for Texas Public Schools

Campus Name: SPRING SHADOW EL Campus ID: 101920120 District Name: SPRING BRANCH ISD

Part I: Student Achievement by Proficiency Level

This section provides the State of Texas Assessments of Academic Readiness (STAAR) performance results for each subject area and grade level tested in the 2015-16 school year. These results include all students tested, whether or not they were in the accountability subset.

										Two or						
				African			America	n	Pacific	More	Special	Econ				
			-	us American	-					Races	Ed	Disadv	ELL	Female	Male	Migrant
STAAR Percent	At or Abo	ve Leve	I II Satisfa	ctory Stand	ard (201	6) or Ph	ase-in 1	Level II	(2015)							
Grade 3																
Reading	2016 72	2% 68	% 61%	56%	62%	*	*	*	_	*	42%	59%	66%	57%	65%	_
	2015 74				71%	*	-	*	-	*	*	69%	72%	77%	67%	-
Mathematics	2016 74	1% 65	% 61%	75%	59%	*	*	*	-	*	42%	60%	61%	59%	63%	-
	2015 74	1% 66	% 68%	*	69%	*	-	*	-	*	*	65%	70%	59%	75%	-
Grade 4	0040 7	10/ 00	2/ 200/	500 /	50 0/	•		*				550 /	50 0/	000/	500 /	
Reading	2016 74				59%	*	-	*	-	•	- 	55%	59%	62%	58%	-
	2015 71	I% 68 ¹	% 61%		62%		-		-	-	55%	56%	65%	64%	58%	-
Mathematics	2016 72	2% 68	% 63%	60%	63%	*	_	*	_	*	*	61%	65%	52%	71%	_
Mathematics	2015 72				44%	*	_	*	_	_	45%	41%	48%	33%	50%	_
			,,		, •											
Writing	2016 68	3% 66	% 65%	50%	65%	*	-	*	-	*	*	62%	65%	64%	65%	-
	2015 67	7% 66	% 53%	*	52%	*	-	*	-	-	50%	49%	57%	61%	49%	-
Grade 5																
Reading	2016 80				64%	*	-	-	-	*	50%	62%	65%	69%	63%	-
	2015 83	3% 77	% 71%	*	69%	100%	*	*	-	*	36%	67%	66%	78%	63%	-
Mathematics	2016 85	5% 81	% 73%	89%	71%	83%				*	64%	69%	76%	71%	73%	
Matriernatics	2015 75				53%	*	*	*	-	*	*	57%	57%	59%	47%	-
	2010 70	7,0 7,1	70 33 70		3370							37 70	J1 /0	3370	71 /0	
Science	2016 73	3% 70	% 60%	75%	59%	*	-	_	-	*	50%	56%	57%	59%	60%	-
	2015 69	9% 66	% 60%	*	59%	*	*	*	-	*	36%	58%	62%	69%	51%	-
All Grades																
All Subjects	2016 74				63%	76%	*	100%	-	*	42%	61%	64%	61%	65%	-
	2015 73	3% 70	% 61%	53%	61%	66%	*	100%	-	55%	36%	58%	63%	64%	58%	-
Dooding	2016 7	20/ 69	0/ 630/	610/	620/	600/	*	*		*	440/	E00/	620/	620/	620/	
Reading	2016 72 2015 74				62% 68%	69% 69%	*	100%	-	*	41% 36%	59% 64%	63% 68%	62% 74%	62% 63%	-
	2013 72	+70 70	/0 00 /0	0376	00 /6	0976		100 /6	_		30 /6	04 /6	00 /6	7470	03 /6	_
Mathematics	2016 75	5% 71	% 65%	74%	64%	85%	*	*	_	*	46%	63%	66%	60%	69%	_
	2015 73				56%	54%	*	100%	-	*	30%			53%	59%	-
Writing	2016 68	3% 63	% 65%	50%	65%	*	-	*	-	*	*	62%	65%	64%	65%	-
	2015 68	3% 64	% 53%	*	52%	*	-	*	-	-	50%	49%	57%	61%	49%	-
Science	2016 77				59%	*	-	-	-	*	50%		57%		60%	-
	2015 75	5% 75	% 60%	*	59%	*	*	*	-	*	36%	58%	62%	69%	51%	-

Accommodations

% STAAR Alternate2

% of Non-Participants

2016 73%

2016 11%

2016 2%

62%

13%

4%

56%

18%

5%

29%

29%

0%

66%

17%

7%

56%

18%

5%

61%

16%

6%

60%

27%

7%

67%

8%

8%

52%

22%

4%

All Grades	0040	100/	100/		.,	000/	000/	400	, 4		00/			0=0/	070/	0.407	0=0/	000/	
All Subjects	2016 ² 2015 3		43% 40%	29° 25°		22%	30% 24%				0% 7%	-	100/	25% 24%	27% 23%	31% 26%	25%	32% 27%	-
	2015	00%	40%	23	70	24%	24%	34%	0	5	1 70	-	18%	24%	23%	20%	22%	2170	-
Reading	2016 4	12%	42%	35	%	29%	35%	46%	/ 0 *		*	_	*	24%	32%	37%	34%	35%	_
J	2015 4		41%	339		41%	31%	46%	6 *	6	0%	-	*	24%	29%	30%	30%	35%	-
Mathematics	2016 4		40%	23		15%	24%				*	-	*	24%	23%	25%	16%	29%	-
	2015	36%	37%	19	%	6%	20%	8%) Î	6	0%	-	•	21%	18%	25%	14%	24%	-
Writing	2016 3	39%	40%	39	%	20%	38%	*	_		*	_	*	*	34%	42%	34%	42%	_
vviimig	2015 3		34%	20		*	19%		-		*	_	-	50%	19%	24%	26%	16%	-
Science	2016 4		48%	24		25%	22%		-		-	-	*	29%	18%	14%	18%	27%	-
	2015 4	40%	45%	24	%	*	20%	*	*	•	*	-	*	14%	28%	17%	22%	26%	-
STAAR Percent	at Level	III Ad	vance	d															
	ut 2 010.	/	· uoo	_															
All Grades																			
All Subjects	2016		20%	119		5%	11%				0%	-	*	3%	9%	13%	9%	12%	-
	2015 1	14%	17%	8%	6	7%	8%	9%	*	1.	4%	-	18%	3%	7%	9%	8%	8%	-
Reading	2016	16%	19%	16	0/2	7%	16%	31%	/ *	;	*	_	*	3%	15%	19%	16%	16%	_
rtodding	2015		17%	14		18%	13%			4	0%	_	*	3%	11%	14%	14%	14%	_
Mathematics	2016	17%	19%	7%	6	4%	7%	0%			*	-	*	5%	6%	8%	5%	8%	-
	2015	14%	15%	4%	6	0%	5%	0%	*	. ()%	-	*	0%	4%	5%	4%	5%	-
\\/witim a	2010	1.40/	470/	45	n./	400/	450/	*			*		*	*	400/	400/	440/	400/	
Writing	2016 ²		17% 10%	15° 4%		10%	15% 4%	*			*	-	_	20%	13% 4%	18% 7%	11% 3%	18% 4%	-
	2010	0 70	1070	٠,	U		470							2070	770	1 70	370	470	
Science	2016	15%	20%	0%	6	0%	0%	*	-		-	-	*	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	-
	2015	14%	19%	5%	6	*	4%	*	*	•	*	-	*	0%	7%	3%	4%	6%	-
STAAR Participa	tion (All	Grad	las)																
STAAK Farticipa	ilion (An	Grau	ies)																
All Tests		201	16 99	9% 9	99%	99%	96%	99%	100%	*	100%	-	100%	98%	99%	100%	99%	99%	-
		201	15 99	9% 9	99%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	-	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	-
Reading		201			99%	99%	97%	99%	100%	*	*	-	*	97%	99%	100%	99%	99%	-
		201	15 99	9% \$	98%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	-	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	99%	-
Mathematics		201	16 10	0% 1	00%	99%	93%	100%	100%	*	*	_	*	97%	99%	100%	99%	99%	_
		201				100%		100%	100%	100%	100%	-	100%	100%	100%	100%			-
Writing		201			00%	99%	100%	99%	*	-	*	-	*	100%	99%	100%			-
		201	15 99	9% 9	99%	100%	100%	100%	100%	-	100%	-	-	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	-
Science		201	16 00	9% 9	99%	100%	100%	100%	100%	_		_	*	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	_
Ocience		201			99%	99%	100%	99%	100%	100%	100%	_	100%	100%	100%	98%	98%		_
				,,,	0070		.0070	0070	.0070	.0070	.0070		.00,0	.00,0	.0070	0070	00,0	.0070	
STAAR Participa	tion Res	ults l	oy Ass	essm	ent Ty	pe for	Student	ts Serv	ed in S	pecial I	Educati	on S	ettings	(All Gra	des)				
Daniel Total																			
Reading Tests % of Participant	e		2016	98%	96%	95%	1000/	93%	*	_	_	_	_	95%	94%	93%	92%	96%	_
% STAAR/EO			2010	30 70	3U 70	33/0	100%	3370		-	-	-	-	30%	J4 70	30%	3∠ 7/0	<i>30 /</i> 0	-
Accommodations			2016	13%	22%	21%	43%	10%	*	-	-	-	-	21%	16%	7%	17%	22%	-
% STAAR/EO	C With																		
۸			2046	720/	600/	ECO/	200/	CC0/	*					ECO/	C40/	000/	670/	E20/	

Mathematics Tests																	
% of Participants	2016	99%	99%	97%	100%	96%	*	-	-	-	-	97%	97%	100%	92%	100%	-
% STAAR/EOC With No																	
Accommodations	2016	12%	18%	21%	43%	11%	*	-	-	-	-	21%	17%	7%	17%	23%	-
% STAAR/EOC With																	
Accommodations	2016	75%	68%	58%	29%	68%	*	-	-	-	-	58%	63%	64%	67%	54%	-
% STAAR Alternate2	2016	12%	14%	18%	29%	18%	*	-	-	-	-	18%	17%	29%	8%	23%	-
% of Non-Participants	2016	1%	1%	3%	0%	4%	*	-	-	-	-	3%	3%	0%	8%	0%	-

^{&#}x27;*' Indicates results are masked due to small numbers to protect student confidentiality.

Part II: Student Achievement and State Academic Annual Measurable Objectives (AMOs)

This section provides the STAAR performance results for each subject area tested in the 2015-16 school year. These results only include tested students who were in the accountability subset. This section also includes four-year and five-year graduation rates and participation rates on STAAR for reading and mathematics.

Percent

															of
								Two or	,		ELL				Eligible
	All	African			American	ı	Pacific			Specia	I (Current &	ELL'	Total	Total	
		sAmericar	Hispanic	White	Indian	Asian					Monitored)			Eligible	
Performance Status - State											•			J	
State Target	60%	60%	60%	60%	60%	60%	60%	60%	60%	60%	60%				
Reading	Υ		Υ						Υ	Ν	Υ		4	5	80
Mathematics	Υ		Υ						Υ	Ν	Υ		4	5	80
Writing	Υ		Υ						Υ		Υ		4	4	100
Science	Υ		Υ						Υ		Υ		4	4	100
Social Studies													0	0	
Total													16	18	89
Performance Status - Fede	eral														
Federal Target	87%	87%	87%	87%					87%	87%	87%				
Reading	N		Ν		n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	Ν	Ν	N	n/a			
Mathematics	N		N		n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	N	N	N	n/a			
Participation Status															
Target	95%	95%	95%	95%	95%	95%	95%	95%	95%	95%		95%			
Reading	Υ	Υ	Υ						Υ	Υ		Υ	6	6	100
Mathematics	Υ	N	Υ						Υ	Υ		Υ	5	6	83
Total													11	12	92
Federal Graduation Status	(Target: S	ee Reason	Codes)												
Graduation Target Met Reason Code ***													0	0	
Total													0	0	
District: Met Federal Limits	s on Altern	ative Asses	ssments												
Reading															
Alternate 1%	n/a														
Number Proficient	n/a														
Total Federal Cap Limit	n/a														
Mathematics															
Alternate 1%	n/a														
Number Proficient	n/a														
Total Federal Cap Limit	n/a														
Total															
Overall Total													27	30	90

^{&#}x27;-' Indicates zero observations reported for this group.

^{&#}x27;n/a' Indicates data reporting is not applicable for this group.

^{&#}x27;?' Indicates that the data for this item were statistically improbable, or were reported outside a reasonable range.

- + Participation uses ELL (Current), Graduation uses ELL (Ever HS)
- *** Federal Graduation Rate Reason Codes:
 - a = Graduation Rate Goal of 90%
- c = Safe Harbor Target of a 10% decrease in difference from the prior year rate and the Goal
- b = Four-year Graduation Rate Target of 88% d = Five-year Graduation Rate Target of 90%

Blank cells above represent student group indicators that do not meet the minimum size criteria.

n/a Indicates the student group is not applicable to System Safeguards.

	All	African			American		Pacific	Two or More	Econ	Special	ELL (Current &	ELL
	Students	American	Hispanic	White	Indian	Asian	Islander	Races	Disadv	Ed	Monitored)	(Current)
Performance Rates												
Reading	189	12	161	0	*	*		*	158	1.1	101	n/o
# at Level II Satisfactory	189	13	164	8			-		156	14	121	n/a
Standard Total Tests	284	20	246	11	*	*		*	246	28	174	168
	204 67%	65%	67%	73%	*	*	-	*	64%	20 50%	70%	
% at Level II Satisfactory Standard	67%	05%	67%	13%			-		64%	50%	70%	n/a
Mathematics												
# at Level II Satisfactory	201	16	172	10	*	*	_	*	169	16	128	n/a
Standard	201	10	172	10					103	10	120	Π/α
Total Tests	285	20	247	11	*	*	_	*	247	28	175	169
% at Level II Satisfactory	71%	80%	70%	91%	*	*	_	*	68%	57%	73%	n/a
Standard	7 1 70	00 70	1070	3170					0070	31 /0	7370	II/a
Writing												
# at Level II Satisfactory	68	*	61	*	_	*	-	*	59	*	51	n/a
Standard												
Total Tests	99	*	89	*	-	*	-	*	88	*	73	71
% at Level II Satisfactory	69%	*	69%	*	-	*	-	*	67%	*	70%	n/a
Standard												
Science												
# at Level II Satisfactory	56	6	47	*	-	-	-	*	46	7	30	n/a
Standard												
Total Tests	89	8	75	*	-	-	-	*	77	14	44	40
% at Level II Satisfactory	63%	75%	63%	*	-	-	-	*	60%	50%	68%	n/a
Standard												
Social Studies												
# at Level II Satisfactory	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	n/a
Standard												
Total Tests	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
% at Level II Satisfactory	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	n/a
Standard												
Participation Rates												
Reading: 2015-2016 Assess		00	000	40	•				000	00	. /-	000
Number Participating	346	28	298	13	*	*	-	*	298	38	n/a	206
Total Students	349	29	300	13	*	*	-	*	301	39	n/a	206
Participation Rate	99%	97%	99%	100%	*	*	-	*	99%	97%	n/a	100%
Mathematics: 2015-2016 Ass	sessments 340	27	293	13	*	*		*	293	37	n/a	200
Number Participating Total Students	340 343	27 29	293 294	13	*	*	-	*	293 296	37 38		200
		_			*	*	-	*			n/a	
Participation Rate	99%	93%	100%	100%			-		99%	97%	n/a	100%

^{*} Indicates results are masked due to small numbers to protect student confidentiality.

n/a Indicates the student group is not applicable to System Safeguards.

						Two or				
All	African		American		Pacific	More	Econ	Special	ELL	ELL
Students	American Hispanic	White	Indian	Asian	Islander	Races	Disadv	Ed	(Ever HS)	(Current)

^{**} When only one racial/ethnic group is masked, then the second smallest racial/ethnic group is masked (regardless of size).

⁻ Indicates there are no students in the group.

Number Graduated	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	n/a
Total in Class	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Graduation Rate	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	n/a
4-year Longitudinal Cohort Grad	luation Rate	e (Gr 9-12):	Class of 2	014								
Number Graduated	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	n/a
Total in Class	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Graduation Rate	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	n/a
5-year Extended Graduation Rat	e (Gr 9-12):	: Class of 2	014									
Number Graduated	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	n/a
Total in Class	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Graduation Rate	-	-	-	_	-	-	-	-	-	_	_	n/a

District: Met Federal Limits on Alternative Assessments

Reading

Number Proficient n/a
Total Federal Cap Limit n/a

Mathematics

Number Proficient n/a
Total Federal Cap Limit n/a

- * Indicates results are masked due to small numbers to protect student confidentiality.
- ** When only one racial/ethnic group is masked, then the second smallest racial/ethnic group is masked (regardless of size).
- Indicates there are no students in the group.

n/a Indicates the student group is not applicable to System Safeguards.

Source: 2016 Accountability System Safeguards Report

Part III: Priority and Focus Schools

Priority schools are the lowest 5% of Title I served campuses based on performance in reading, mathematics and graduation rates. Priority schools include Tier I or Tier II TTIPS schools, campuses with graduation rates less than 60%, and lowest achieving campuses based on All Students reading/math performance. **Focus schools** are 10% of Title I served campuses, not already identified as priority schools, that have the widest gaps between student group performance and safeguard targets. Campuses are ranked based on the largest gaps between student group reading/math performance and the annual measurable objectives (AMO) target of 83%. Campuses were originally staged as priority and focus based on data from the 2013 Accountability Reports.Priority and focus schools having improved in performance and are no longer identified as improvement required for the August 2015 and 2016 ratingswill include a "Progress" label. All schools that do not meet that criteria will remain identified as priority or focus.

Priority School Identification: Priority School Reason: N/A
No Focus School Reason: N/A

Focus School Identification: No.

A high-performance reward school is identified as a Title I school with distinctions based on reading and math performance. In addition, at the high school level, a reward school is a Title I school with the highest graduation rates. A high progress school is identified as a Title I school in the top 25% in annual improvement; and/or a school in the top 25% of those demonstrating ability to close performance gaps based on system safeguards. The reward school identifications provided are for the 2015-2016 school year. Identifications for the 2016-2017 school year are pending.

High Performing School: No High Progress School: No

Source: TEA Division of School Improvement and Support

Part IV: Teacher Quality Data

Part IV A: Percent of Teachers by Highest Degree Held

Professional qualifications of all public elementary and secondary school teachers in the State of Texas. The distribution of degrees attained by teachers are shown as the percent of total Full-Time Equivalent (FTE) count of teachers with no degree, bachelor's, master's, and

doctorate degrees.

	Campus			
	Number	Percent	District	State
			Percent	Percent
No Degree	1.0	1.9%	0.2%	1.0%
Bachelors	38.0	71.7%	73.9%	74.7%
Masters	13.0	24.5%	24.4%	23.6%
Doctorate	1.0	1.9%	1.4%	0.6%

Part IV B and C: Teachers with Emergency/Provisional Credentials, Highly Qualified (HQ) Teachers Low Poverty/ High Poverty Summary Reports

The percentage of all public elementary and secondary school teachers teaching with emergency or provisional credentials, and the percentage of classes in the state not taught by highly qualified teachers disaggregated by high-poverty compared to low-poverty schools. For this purpose, high-poverty means schools in the top quartile of poverty and low-poverty means the bottom quartile of poverty in the state.

Core Academic Subject Areas

		General	Special	Total
		Education	Education	
Total Number of Teachers		41	5	46
Total Number of Classes		41	5	46
Number of Classes Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers	Number	41	5	46
	Percent	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%
Number of Classes Taught by Not Highly Qualified Teachers	Number	0	0	0
	Percent	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%

Number of Core Academic Teachers Who Are Teaching on the Following Permits

	Number of	Teachers
	Elem	secondary
	(PK-6)	(7-12)
Emergency (for certified personnel)	0	0
Emergency (for uncertified personnel)	0	0
Non-renewable	0	0
Temporary Classroom Assignment	0	0
District Teaching	0	0
Temporary	0	0

Number of Core Academic Teachers with a Probationary Certificate Enrolled in an Alternative Certification

	Number	of Teachers
	General Education	Special Education
Highly Qualified	1	1
Not Highly Qualified	0	0

Source: TEA Division of Federal and State Education Policy

This section provides the percentage of students who enroll and begin instruction at an institution of higher education in the school year (fall or spring semester) following high school graduation. The rate reflects the percent of total graduates during the 2012-13 school year who attended a public or independent college or university in Texas in the 2013-14 academic year.

Report Not Required

Source: Texas Higher Education Coordinating Board

Part VI: Statewide National Assessment of Educational Progress (NAEP) Results

The most recent NAEP results for Texas are provided showing statewide reading and mathematics performance results and participation rates, disaggregated by student group.

State Level: 2015 Percentages at NAEP Achievement Levels

			%	% At or Above	% At or Above	% At or Above
Grade	Subject	Student Group	Below Basic	Basic	Proficient	Advanced
Grade 4	Reading	Overall	36	64	31	7
0.000	. roadg	American Indian	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
		Asian	13	87	66	30
		Black	49	51	17	2
		Hispanic	44	56	22	3
		White	18	82	50	13
		Students with Disabilities	71	29	11	2
		English Language Learners	59	41	12	2
		National School Lunch Program	46	54	20	3
	Mathematics	Overall	14	86	44	8
		American Indian	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
		Asian	3	97	82	36
		Black	24	76	29	2
		Hispanic	16	84	37	4
		White	7	93	60	15
		Students with Disabilities	41	59	18	2
		English Language Learners	23	77	28	2
		National School Lunch Program	19	81	30	2
Grade 8	Reading	Overall	28	72	28	2
		American Indian	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
		Asian	12	88	55	12
		Black	38	62	19	2
		Hispanic	35	65	19	1
		White	14	86	43	4
		Students with Disabilities	70	30	5	n/a
		English Language Learners	71	29	2	n/a
		National School Lunch Program	36	64	18	1
	Mathematics	Overall	25	75	32	7
		American Indian	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
		Asian	5	95	67	25
		Black	43	57	16	2
		Hispanic	31	69	23	4
		White	12	88	48	12
		Students with Disabilities	62	38	8	1
		English Language Learners	60	40	6	n/a
		National School Lunch Program	34	66	20	3

State Level: 2015 Participation Rates for Students with Disabilities and Limited English Proficient Students

Grade Grade 4	Subject Reading	Student Group Students with Disabilities Limited English Proficient	% 72 92
	Mathematics	Students with Disabilities Limited English Proficient	80 95
Grade 8	Reading	Students with Disabilities Limited English Proficient	81 95
	Mathematics	Students with Disabilities Limited English Proficient	81 90

Source: TEA Division of Student Assessment